



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/577,521

04/27/2006

Shinya Takagi

034620-143

6836

Robert E. Krebs
Thelen Reid & Priest
P.O. Box 640640
San Jose, CA 95164-0640

7590

02/13/2009

EXAMINER

RAMADAN, RAMY O

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2838

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

02/13/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/577,521	Applicant(s) TAKAGI ET AL.	
	Examiner RAMY RAMADAN	Art Unit 2838	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) RAMY RAMADAN. (3) ____.

(2) KHALED SHAMI. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 12 February 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Tsuji et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Shami faxed to the examiner proposed amendments for claim 1. During the interview, the examiner indicated that the proposed amendments to claim 1 would distinguish the claim over Tsuji et al. and therefore would overcome the current rejection on record. Finally, the examiner indicated that further search and consideration would be required to determine the patentability of the proposed claims.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

	/Akm Enayet Ullah/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2838
--	--